

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	T			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,900	10/22/2003	Jacobus Johannes Cornelis Geerlings	TS1288 (US)	6359
*****	23632 7590 10/24/2007 SHELL OIL COMPANY		EXAMINER	
P O BOX 2463 HOUSTON, TX 772522463			HENDRICKSON, STUART L	
110031011, 12	X //2322403	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/690,900	GEERLINGS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stuart Hendrickson	1793				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/1/0	07.					
	action is non-final.					
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1793

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. The RCE is accepted.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP '744 taken with JP '153.

EP '744 teaches, in columns 4 and 6 especially, purifying carbon dioxide by desorbing from an amine at elevated temperature. The elevate pressure expected is noted as well. The temperature and pressure overlaps the claimed ranges, rendering them prima-facie obvious. This differs in not teaching the claimed use of the carbon dioxide. '153 teaches in the abstract treating fine silicate particles (size overlapping the claimed range) with carbon dioxide. Using the purified CO2 of '744 in the JP process is an obvious expedient to provide carbon dioxide to a process needing it; note In re Kamlet 88 USPQ 106.

Concerning claim 2, using heat exchange is an obvious expedient to optimize economic efficiency by reducing heating costs. Concerning claim 13 and the like, using a high temperature to carbonate is an obvious expedient to make the reaction go faster.

Applicant's arguments filed 10/1/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

EP '744 suggests the use of off-gassed carbon dioxide in sequestration. JP '153 is one of such sequestration methods, as evidenced by the references submitted on this technique. It is an obvious expedient to use heat from one step if it is needed in another step, particularly under the rubric of energy conservation and 'going-green'. The examiner agrees that the present process is relatively inefficient versus for example direct adsorption of gas by a solution of CaO. However, this does not detract from the combination of references to meet what is claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (571) 272-1351.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754